UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,195	04/25/2007	Marcus Vetter	085449-0203	6937
	7590 10/25/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIII	ROY, BAISAKHI		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3777	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/590,195	VETTER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BAISAKHI ROY	3777		

	BA	AISAKHI ROY	3777	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED 13 October 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. X The app app for 0	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the lication, applicant must timely file one of the following repl lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR ods:	same day as filing a Notice of ies: (1) an amendment, affidav with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing data. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on v filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension ce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within IENTS	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further consid They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better tappeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a corr	eration and/or search (see NO orm for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
4.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  a amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.  plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowatellowable claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (	
7. For how The Clai Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  m(s) allowed:  m(s) objected to:  m(s) rejected: 1-15 and 23-30.  m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Nered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary an	come <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
REQUES	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER e request for reconsideration has been considered but do		•	
<u>Se</u> 12. □ No	ee Continuation Sheet.  Ite the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PToher:			
/Tse Ch Supervi	nen/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777	/B. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3777	7	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to applicant's arguments regarding movement of organ structure, the Shahidi reference does teach registering the patient's anatomy or organ structure in an ongoing surgical process allowing the surgeon to continually investigate the outcome of each step of the surgery. The 3D coordinates of the surgical instruments can be calculated and thus their spatial relationship to the target lesion can be extrapolated as real-time changes occur (col. 12 lines 4-27). The patient's anatomy is observed form any changes such as monitoring movement of the anatomy 1505, the laser projection 1508, and any other surgical instruments 1522 that may be present in the camera's field of view (fig. 15, col. 13 lines 4-39). Therefore it is clear that the reference does take into account movement of anatomical organ structures while spatially localizing the instrument position by a tracking system and successively correcting the instrument position in relation to the static image data by tracking the changes to the anatomy in real-time. Since the reference does teach accounting for movement of anatomy in real-time, the previous rejection is therefore maintained.